UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LI DONG MA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated	CASE NO. C-08-01729 JF
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C-00-01/29 31
v. RSM McGLADREY, INC.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-	onferred regarding ADR and have reached the 8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR I Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlem appreciably more likely to meet their needs that ADR phone conference and may not file this fo ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 1	n any other form of ADR, must participate in an rm. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: ✓ Private ADR (please identify pro	ocess and provider) the parties will determine the
mediator and/or ADR provider after a ruling on class cer	tification
	eadline is 90 days from the date of the order ocess unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested deadline after a ru	uling on class certification
	MILSTEIN, ADELMAN & KREGER, LLP
Dated: July 15, 2008	/s/ William A. Baird Attorney for Plaintiff SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
Dated: July 15, 2008	/s/ Andrew M. Paley Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session
90 days from the date of this order.
other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE